



***COMPETITIVE SUPPORT FOR UNRATED RESEARCHERS
FUNDING INSTRUMENT***

NATIONAL RESEARCH FOUNDATION

Framework Document

February 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION
1.1	Description of the Funding Instrument
2	STRATEGIC CONTEXT
2.1	Environmental scan
2.2	Objectives
2.3	NRF perspective
2.4	Institutional structure
2.5	Financing support
2.6	Key stakeholders
3	<i>MODUS OPERANDI</i>
3.1	Call for proposals
3.2	Eligibility
3.3	Application screening
3.4	Application assessment
3.5	Rules of participation
3.6	Data management
3.7	Science Engagement
4	FINANCIALS
4.1	Funding model
4.2	Funding ranges
4.3	Funding support
4.4	Financial controls and reporting
5	CONTACT DETAILS
6	LIST OF ACRONYMS

ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE 1: Panel Assessment Scorecard

ANNEXURE 2: Proposal Grading

ANNEXURE 3: Preset equity scores

1. INTRODUCTION

The National Research Foundation (NRF) recognises that for South Africa to be internationally competitive and to meaningfully contribute to the global economy, the country must have the capability to understand the knowledge it produces, and that produced by others. This understanding can best be developed in part through producing new knowledge and translating and developing existing knowledge. Publicly funded basic and applied research is critical for innovation and potentially, international competitiveness.

The NRF views support for basic disciplinary, multi-, inter-, and transdisciplinary research as an investment in the country's learning and knowledge production capabilities and capacities. Such research is considered critical for innovation and potentially, international competitiveness. The Competitive Programme for Rated Researchers funding instrument is a discipline-and transdisciplinary-based, and demand-driven funding instrument. **It is open to persons who do not hold a current NRF rating.**

1.1 Funding instrument description

The Competitive Support for Unrated Researchers (CSUR) funding instrument is a highly competitive discipline-based funding instrument which supports both basic and applied research in all disciplines including Social Sciences, Law, and the Humanities. The instrument is aimed at enhancing the efficiency of the NRF to drive transformation consistently and strategically through supporting basic and applied research as the foundation of knowledge production. It is also designed to encourage unrated researchers to enter the rating track. The chief eligibility criteria is above average scientific merit and feasibility of the research proposal.

Although the funding instrument ostensibly has a broad and non-directed theme and structure, funding will be prioritised to the top scoring applications within broad field/discipline that are not supported through other NRF mission-driven funding instruments, such as the African Origin Platform (Palaeosciences), the Global Change Grand Challenge, the South African National Antarctic Programme, and the Marine and Coastal Research, among others. The emphasis will be on basic and as appropriate, applied research in disciplinary fields, and will allow for multidisciplinary and transdisciplinary enquiry along the basic-applied research continuum.

Unlike some funding instruments, the NRF thought the CSUR funding instrument does not dictate the direction of the research considered for support. However, research informed by national, regional, and international priorities are of specific interest in the context of contributing to wider system objectives.

2. STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The mandate of the NRF is to support and promote research through funding, human resource development and the provision of the necessary research facilities to facilitate the creation of knowledge, innovation, and development in all fields of science and technology, including indigenous knowledge and thereby to contribute to the improvement of the quality of life of all the people of the Republic (NRF Act, 1998).

In support of its purpose, the NRF's *Strategy 2025, and the NRF Vision 2030*, aspire to contribute to the development of *a vibrant and globally connected national system of innovation*.

Strategy 2025

NRF Strategy 2025 is an implementation framework for the ten-year vision. This strategy is centred on the NRF's desire to contribute to national development through research with impact.

The strategic outcomes include:

- i. A transformed (internationally competitive and sustainable) research workforce;
- ii. Enhanced impact of the research enterprise;
- iii. Enhanced impact of science engagement; and
- iv. A transformed organisation that lives its culture and values.

Vision 2030

The overall objectives for 2030 are to shape, influence and impact the national research system; to establish the NRF as a thought leader and source of knowledge within the science sector; to create a clear causal relationship between research and national development; to have a transformative effect on the national research enterprise and the relationship between science and society; and to enable, initiate, facilitate and perform excellent research with direct and indirect impact, whether immediate or long-term, that extends the frontiers of knowledge and addresses national challenges.

2.1 Environmental scan

The CSUR resonates with the NRF mandate by being cognisant of the role that research plays in the socio-economic development of the country.

It is recognised that the innovation value chain requires basic, strategic, and applied research. Support for basic disciplinary research is seen as an investment in a society's learning capabilities. At the same time, this funding instrument acknowledges that basic and applied research are a continuum and inter-dependent and that increasingly, the notion of "frontier research" transcends the distinction of basic and applied research and refers to leading edge research which is risky and often across different disciplines.

The NRF continues to support self-initiated bottom-up research ideas and research that address national strategic initiatives as reflected in national strategies like the National Development Plan, the 10-year Innovation Plan and those that are embedded in our geographic advantage areas. The NRF also supports the African Union Agenda 2063 priorities and the Sustainable Development Goals as contained in the United Nations 2030 of the United Nations' 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

2.2 Objectives

The objectives of the funding instrument are:

- i. To contribute to knowledge production in the Natural Sciences, the Social Sciences, and the Humanities;
- ii. To contribute to knowledge production across the research spectrum.
- iii. To achieve world-class research;
- iv. Advance or develop paradigms, theories, and methodological innovation across the research spectrum;
- v. To develop a comprehensive pipeline of human capacity, from past graduate training to emerging researchers, to established researchers;
- vi. To ensure meaningful public awareness activities to raise the national and international visibility of South African; and
- vii. To develop sustainable and inclusive community engagement activities.

2.3 NRF Perspective

The CSUR funding instrument will serve to develop and expand research excellence. This is in line with the NRF's view that support for research is an investment in South Africa's learning capabilities. The funding instrument directly, and in part, addresses the following objectives of the *NRF Strategy 2025*:

- A transformed (internationally competitive and sustainable) research workforce;
- Enhanced impact of the research enterprise; and
- Enhanced impact of science engagement.

2.4 Financing support

Funding for the CSUR funding instrument is made possible through the NRF's Parliamentary Core Funding. As a demand-driven funding instrument, there is no limit to the amount an applicant can request. However, the financial requests need to be in line with requirements and accurately reflect the financial needs of the proposed work. Excessive budget requests are not well received by the review panels. The final number of successful applicants that will be supported will be determined by the available budget. The final number of successful applicants that will be supported will be determined by the available budget for 2025. Historically, approximately R 10 500 000 (ten million five hundred thousand Rand) per year (2025-2027) is allocated towards research-related costs **and** postdoctoral fellowships. A further 30% - approximately R4 500 000 (four million five hundred thousand Rand) – will be ringfenced for postgraduate student support.

2.5 Key stakeholders

The key stakeholders involved in the CSUR are persons with a valid NRF rating based at public research institutions that are recognised by directive of the Minister of the Department of Science and Innovation. These include Public Universities, Museums, National Research Facilities and Science Councils.

3. **MODUS OPERANDI**

3.1 **Call for proposals**

All application materials **must** be submitted electronically via the NRF Connect System at <https://nrfconnect.nrf.ac.za/>. All applications **must** be endorsed by the research office or equivalent of the principal applicant before submission to the NRF. It is the responsibility of each applicant to familiarise himself / herself with the **internal closing dates** set by institutions to meet the NRF closing date included in the “General Application Guide 2024”. **Incomplete OR late submissions will not be accepted for review.**

3.2 **Eligibility**

- CSUR grantees will only qualify for **ONE** CSUR grant cycle (3 years). Thereafter, they will be expected to enter the rated stream and or apply for funding to other NRF instruments such as Competitive Programme for Rated Researchers (CPRR). Current or previous CSUR grantees are **ineligible** for further CSUR funding.
- Funding in this round will support successful applications for a maximum period of 3 years, 2025 - 2027. A project with a duration of one year or less does not qualify.
- Each new Principal Investigator **may only submit ONE** CSUR application to this call. However, a researcher may participate either as a co-investigator or collaborator in more than one project.
- Full-time employees at an NRF recognized research institution in South Africa, **who do not hold a valid NRF rating at the time of application**, are invited to apply.
- Postdoctoral fellows, students, technical and support staff are NOT eligible to apply.
- **Employees** on contract at recognized research institutions in South Africa may apply, on condition that their appointment is for (at least) the duration of the project applied for in the submission. The length of the contract should be stated on the application form. The primary employment of the individual concerned must be at that institution. A contract researcher appointed at a research institution on behalf of a third party to fulfill a very specific function for the latter does not qualify for support.
- Successful applicants will be eligible for funding **for the duration of their awarded grant**, to a maximum of 3 years. The grant allocation will be allowed to run for the duration of the award, even if the principal investigator becomes rated during this period.
- Retired academics/researchers, provided they meet all set criteria as stipulated below:
 - are resident in South Africa.
 - are formally affiliated to a South African Higher Education Institution (e.g., appointed as an emeritus professor, honorary research associate/professor, supernumerary/contract employee).

- are active researchers with a distinguished track record in research and postgraduate student supervision.
- are actively mentoring/training postgraduate students/young research staff; and the institution ensures that a minimum of reasonable time (6 months minimum) is spent at the facility for the purpose of research and research capacity development.

Students, including PhD Candidates and Postdoctoral Fellows *are NOT eligible to apply* as principal investigators in this call. They may, however, find support for their proposed research by embedding this into applications submitted by eligible principal investigators as detailed above. ***Applications for own degree purposes will not be considered.***

3.3. Ethical clearance

It is the responsibility of the grantholder, in conjunction with the institution, to ensure that all research activities carried out in or outside South Africa comply with the laws and regulations of South Africa and/or the foreign country in which the research activities are conducted. These include all human and animal subjects, copyright and intellectual property protection, and other regulations or laws, as appropriate.

Please refer to the “Statement on Ethical Research and Scholarly Publishing Practices” at <https://www.nrf.ac.za/statement-on-ethical-research-and-scholarly-publishing-practices>.

3.4 Application assessment

The assessment of applications will be guided by a Panel Assessment Scorecard (**see Annexure 1**) and scored according to the Proposal Grading (**see Annexure 2**). **Annexure 3** details the pre-selected equity scores for each applicant, as applied to South African citizens and Permanent Residents. Non-South Africans or Permanent Residents will not be counted towards transformation, and will score as White Males or Females, as appropriate.

Application assessment will occur by way of a two-tiered process:

- **Remote peer review**

The remote peer reviewers will be specialists in the ambit of the respective proposals. Requests for written reviews will be solicited electronically from national and international peers. Applicants will be requested to provide between 6 to 15 possible reviewers. It is in the applicant's best interest to ensure that the selected reviewers are aware of the submission and are thus likely to respond. It is also in the applicant's best interest to ensure that selected reviewers **have no possible conflict of interest** (project partners, academics in the same department, close personal, or professional relationships, etc.). in submitting a review; as such review reports are dismissed without consideration. On average, a 30% response rate is achieved by the NRF in requesting any postal peer reviews.

- **Panel-peer review**

The adjudication panel will be broadly constituted to include senior academics, selected based both on their respective knowledge fields and their research standing. The panel meeting will be held at central location or by way of tele- or videoconferencing. Panel members will deliberate on submitted written reviews and will be expected to offer their own expert opinions.

Please note: Applicants **must** ensure that their Curriculum Vitae are updated on the NRF Connect System at <https://nrfconnect.nrf.ac.za/>. **These Curriculum Vitae are used in the assessment processes, and incomplete or outdated inputs will jeopardise the success of the application.**

3.5 Rules of participation

a) Principal Investigator

Only researchers based at NRF recognized research institutions in South Africa (as defined above) are eligible to apply as principal investigators (PI) in this funding instrument.

The PI (i.e., the applicant) must be an active researcher who takes intellectual responsibility for the project, its conception, any strategic decisions required in its pursuit, and the communication of results. The PI must have the capacity to make a serious commitment to the project and cannot assume the role of a supplier of resources for work that will largely be placed in the hands of others. The PI will take responsibility for the management and administration of resources allocated to the grant award, and for the meeting of reporting requirements.

The research team may also include:

b) Co-investigators

A co-investigator (CI) is an active researcher who provides significant commitment, intellectual input and relevant expertise into the design and implementation of the research application. The CI will be involved in all or at least some well-defined research activities within the scope of the application. Only South Africa-based co-investigators will be eligible for funding in successful grant applications. **It is important to note that postdoctoral fellows, students, technical and support staff DO NOT qualify as co-investigators.**

c) Research Associates / Collaborators

These individuals or groups make a relatively small, but meaningful contribution to the research endeavours outlined in the application, but do not participate in the research design. They are not considered as part of the core research team and are **not eligible** to receive NRF funds from the grant if the team's application is successful.

3.6 Data management and use

A data management Plan (DMP) is a **formal document** that describes the data you expect to acquire or generate during a research project, how you will manage, describe, analyze, and store the data, and what mechanisms (including digital data storage) you will use at the end of your project **to share and preserve** your data. This may be included as an attachment to the application, or the information can be placed into the application template text, as preferred.

Research data sharing that underlies the findings reported in a journal article/conference paper/thesis as set out in the NRF Open Access Statement.

The findings reported in a journal article or conference paper should be deposited in accordance with the NRF Open Access Statement. It is acknowledged that some data generated are more sensitive than others. Before initiating the research, it is the grant-holder's responsibility to consider the following: confidentiality, ethics, security, and copyright. Possible data sharing challenges should be considered in the DMP with solutions to optimise data sharing.

Researchers should note that publicly funded research data should be in the public domain, with free and open access, by default. The NRF does not provide funding for open access storage or publication as this is viewed as an institutional responsibility.

Collaborators and co-investigators in the research project should be informed by the applicant that due to public funding and funder mandate, one is expected to share research data as openly as possible. The Data Management Plan should indicate how the data will be shared. If (some) research data is to be restricted, an appropriate statement in the DMP and subsequent publication should explain why access to data is restricted.

The National Research Foundation has adopted and is given permission to use the [DCC Checklist for Data Management Plan](http://www.dcc.ac.uk/sites/default/files/documents/resource/DMP/DMP_Checklist_2013.pdf), and this can be used as a guide for developing the DMP. (http://www.dcc.ac.uk/sites/default/files/documents/resource/DMP/DMP_Checklist_2013.pdf)

3.7 Science Engagement

The NRF supports science engagement through its coordination and implementation of the Department of Science and Innovation's Science Engagement Strategy. The strategy embraces a broad understanding of science, encompassing systematic knowledge spanning natural and physical sciences, engineering sciences, medical sciences, agricultural sciences, mathematics, social sciences and humanities, technology, all aspects of the innovation chain and indigenous knowledge. Within this context, science engagement refers to activities, events, or interactions characterised by mutual learning and dialogue among people of varied backgrounds, scientific expertise, and life experiences, who articulate and discuss their perspectives, ideas, knowledge, and values. Science engagement is an overarching term for all aspects of public engagement with science, science awareness, science education, science communication and science outreach, which aim to develop and benefit individuals and society. Researchers funded through the NRF programmes are required to contribute to science engagement and report the related outputs in their project's annual Progress Report.

4. FINANCIALS

4.1 Funding model

The grants of this funding instrument are to be primarily used for research purposes and for the development of associated human resources under the auspices of the NRF standard grant and finance policies. The allocation of funds is demand-driven, and as such there is no maximum or minimum proposal request. The number of applications that will be supported overall will depend on the availability of resources and the financial requirements of those successful applications. If successful applications have high financial requirements, fewer applications will be supported. The funds are released upon acceptance of the conditions of

grant, both by the applicant and their employing institution. These grants will fall under the NRF audit requirements of beneficiary institutions.

4.2 Funding ranges

The application assessment process will consider proposed budget items in terms of cost, risk, and reward ratios. The NRF Connect System uses a single template for all research grants. Not all sections will apply to the CSUR funding instrument. All awards will be made in line with the detailed CSUR Funding instrument funding rules and guidelines as outlined below. Although specific funding categories are outlined in the application form, awards will be collapsed into three categories: running costs, research equipment and postdoctoral fellowships on the award letters. A detailed budget is required during the assessment process. The application assessment process will consider proposed budget items in terms of cost, risk, and reward ratios. Decisions relating to budget items will also be governed by the overall funding instrument funds available for the period. Awards will be made in line with the NRF funding rules and guidelines as outlined in **Section 4.3**.

4.3 Funding support

Postgraduate student support

The NRF has developed a new Postgraduate Student Funding Policy that will use postgraduate student funding as a lever to address the challenges of inequity of access, success, and throughput. Grantholder-linked bursaries are no longer allowed. The policy is underpinned by the pursuit of research excellence in all its dimensions and has transformation of the postgraduate cohort as the core objective. Its purpose is to retain **high academic achievers** in the system to pursue postgraduate studies up to the doctoral level, as part of a national drive to grow the next generation of academics to sustain South Africa's knowledge enterprise. The NRF is prioritising postgraduate students with research inclination, with the aim to grow the pool of early career researchers. Another motivation for this policy is to fast-track the development of postgraduate students in high-impact, priority, and vulnerable disciplines critical for national socio-economic development.

All postgraduate students are required to apply on the NRF Connect system at <https://nrfconnect.nrf.ac.za>. A financial means test will be conducted by the Ikusasa Students Financial Aid Programme (ISFAP) and will in part determine whether postgraduate students will be funded either at Full Cost of Study (FCS) or Partial Cost of Study (PCS) under the new policy. To ensure equity of access to postgraduate studies, financially needy students (i.e., those whose combined household income is R350 000 per annum or less) and students with a

disability will be funded at FCS. Academic “high-fliers” achieving a distinction or first-class pass will also be eligible for funding at FCS. International students as well as any other South African students who are not eligible for FCS will be eligible for PCS funding. The students are expected to meet the NRF minimum entry requirement to be eligible for FCS or PCS as illustrated in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Eligibility criteria for NRF postgraduate funding for FCS and PCS

Study Level	Full Cost of Study <i>(South African Citizens and Permanent Residents only)</i>		Partial Cost of Study <i>(South African Citizens; South African Permanent Residents and 5% Non-South African Citizens)</i>
	Exceptional Achievers	Financially needy & Students with Disability	Other
Honours	≥ 75% Mark in Final Year of study	≥ 65% Mark in Final Year of study	≥ 65% Mark in Final Year of study
	Honours students must be 28 years of age or younger in the year of application. Non-South African Citizens are not eligible for Honours Scholarships.		
Masters	≥ 75% Mark for Honours Completed Honours in one year	≥ 65% Mark for Honours Completed Honours in one year	≥ 65% Mark for Honours Completed Honours in one year
	Masters’ students must be 30 years of age or younger in the year of application.		
Doctoral	≥ 75% Mark for Masters Completed Masters in two years	≥ 65% Mark for Masters Completed Masters in two years	≥ 65% Mark for Masters Completed Masters in two years
	Doctoral students must be 32 years of age or younger in the year of application.		

In cases where a grade is not indicated, the application will not be considered for funding by the NRF.

The NRF will allocate all postgraduate bursaries under its management control as follows:

- 95% South African citizens and permanent residents.
- 5% students from SADC countries and from the rest of the world, and
- 55% women.

The NRF disaggregates these targets for South African citizens and permanent residents as follows:

- 90% Black (African, Coloured, and Indian).
- 10% White; and
- 1% students living with a disability.

Applicants are encouraged to identify postgraduate students that have a potential to complete their honours, Masters', and Doctoral degree with a minimum pass mark of 65%, and who are interested in pursuing research in the proposed project. The interested students must apply on the NRF Connect System by accessing the link: <https://nrfconnect.nrf.ac.za>, and should include the reference number of your application in their applications. This will enable the identification of the students' applications for consideration for funding by the NRF. Should your application be unsuccessful, the student's chances of being funded will not be affected. In a situation where the students are not successful, you may contact the Postgraduate Office at your institution to identify students who succeeded to get the NRF bursaries, and who may require a supervisor. The success of the applications for your targeted students is not a guarantee that all of them will receive NRF bursaries. Student bursary approval will depend on the available budget and will be made in consideration of the NRF's key performance targets.

Research-related operating costs

These costs include materials and supplies, travel (including conferences) and subsistence, equipment and research/technical/*ad hoc* assistance. These costs should be justified and commensurate with the planned outputs, as they will be assessed on this basis. The amount awarded within this framework **can be used at the discretion of the applicant**. Below are some general guidelines:

Sabbaticals

The CSUR funding instrument **does not** provide support for sabbaticals in this funding cycle.

Lecture replacement

The CSUR funding instrument **does not** provide support for lecture replacements.

Materials and Supplies

Support for the running expenses of the proposed application. These items would be used in normal course of operations in all fields of research. The CSUR funding instrument does not provide financial support for:

- Basic office equipment including computers and consumables unless the computer is required for high-end computing for the research itself.
- Basic office stationery, photocopying costs, printing costs unless these items form part of the research tools.
- **Journal publication costs, journal subscription costs and book costs.**
- Telephone costs.

Please note that these restrictions do not apply to a PI and/or CI's based at a museum.

Travel and subsistence

- International conference attendance: Generally, the NRF restricts this amount to R25,000 per person to a **maximum of R50,000 per application per year** for a team application i.e. for principal investigators and co-investigators (local only) and local postgraduate students.
- International visits: These will be considered on a case-by-case basis. Such visits must be integral to the research plan and strong motivations should accompany these requests. Realistic funding allocations will be based on the requested activities. Only outgoing visits will be considered depending on the availability of funding.
- Local conference attendance: Generally, the NRF restricts expenditure against this item to **R5, 000 per person (all costs)**. Support for local conference attendance could be requested for all listed co-investigators and postgraduate students. The applicant should clearly motivate for the benefit of attending more than one local conference per annum, and for the number of people attending each local conference.
- Local travel: The NRF does not stipulate any rate for mileage as this will depend on the rate which varies per institution/organisation. Applicants are requested to provide details of this rate as well as the estimated distance to be travelled within the given year. This travel should be well-motivated and excludes travel to conferences mentioned above.

- Local accommodation costs should not exceed a three-star establishment. This relates to local travel for research purposes and an estimation of accommodation cost for each trip should be clearly presented in the motivation.

Research / Technical / *Ad hoc* Assistants

The CSUR funding instrument **does not provide funding for salaries**. Requests for research/technical/*ad hoc* assistance should be treated with caution. Generally, the NRF would encourage applicants to engage students to undertake the research rather than employing research consultants. **The NRF will not pay stipends for students to undertake research or research-related activities**. This guideline, however, does not apply when specific and/or highly specialised research/technical expertise is required. This should be **CLEARLY** motivated for in the application. **Administrative assistance does not qualify as technical assistance**.

Research Equipment

Funding for equipment will be limited to R200 000 per application. Requisitions for large equipment items should be submitted through the NRF's National Equipment Programme

Science Engagement

Pre-planned science engagement events may be supported.

Funding to cater for disabilities.

Additional funding support to cater for disability will be allocated to people with disabilities as specified in the Code of Good Practice on Employment of People with Disabilities detailed in the Employment Equity Act No 55 of 1998.

4.4 Financial control and reporting

Upon receipt of the signed Conditions of Grant, the NRF will release the awarded amount for the year. Grantholders will then be required to comply with the standard NRF financial management procedures, including the submission of an annual Progress Report. These are to be submitted in February of the following year and are a prerequisite for the release of the subsequent year's funding for **ALL current NRF awards**. Failure to submit a Progress Report will result in the cancellation of ALL current awards held by the defaulting principal investigator.

5. CONTACT DETAILS

Technical challenges and queries must be submitted via the support desk
(supportdesk@nrf.ac.za)

For funding instrument queries please contact:

Mrs Tracy Klarenbeek

National Research Foundation

E-mail: TJ.Klarenbeek@risa.nrf.ac.za

Tel: 012 481 4177

6. LIST OF ACRONYMS

CI	Co-investigator
CSUR	Competitive Support for Unrated Researchers
DCC	Digital Curation Centres
DMP	Data Management Plan
DSI	Department of Science and Innovation
FCS	Full Cost of Study
ISFAP	Ikusasa Students Financial Aid Programme
KAS	Knowledge Advancement and Support
NRF	National Research Foundation
PCS	Partial Cost of Study
PI	Principal Investigator
RIISA	Research, Innovation and Impact Support and Advancement
SADA	South African Data Archive
SADC	Southern African Development Community
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals

ANNEXURE 1: Panel Assessment Scorecard for Rated Researchers

Criteria	Sub-Criteria	Details	Score / 4	Weight	Weighted score
Proposals	Scientific merit and feasibility	<p>Reflect on the scientific, ethical, logistics and technical feasibility as proposed.</p> <p>Reflect on past contributions of the applicant to appropriate knowledge production (e.g. journal articles, book chapters, designs, performances, etc.).</p> <p>Does the proposed work significantly advance discovery and understanding ?</p>		45%	0.00
Equity	Of applicant	Race / Gender ¹ .		15%	0.00
	Of students graduated	M and D graduates in the last 5yrs		5%	0.00
Collaboration	International, national, and institutional collaborations	<p>Are the appropriate collaborations proposed in the application?</p> <p>Are historically disadvantaged institutions/individuals included?</p> <p>Are the roles of the proposed collaborators clearly indicated?</p>		5%	0.00
Impacts	Wider impact	<p>Has the potential contribution to economic, societal, or environmental impact been appropriately embedded in the proposal?</p> <p>Is it clear how such impact will be measured?</p>		20%	0.00
Data management and use	Data management and open access	<p>Has an appropriate, comprehensive data management plan been embedded within the proposal (as attachment or as free text)?</p> <p>Is the open access to all data generated by the proposed research been appropriately and comprehensively detailed?</p>		10%	0.00
Totals				100%	0.00

¹ Preset equity scores, Annexure 3

ANNEXURE 2: Proposal Grading

Score	Meaning of score	Notes
4	Excellent	Application demonstrates evidence of outstanding performance across all the stated criteria, as determined by the panel and relative to the knowledge field under consideration
3	Above average	Above average performance across all criteria, as determined by the panel and relative to the knowledge field under consideration
2	Average	Application demonstrates average performance across all the stated criteria, as determined by the panel and relative to the knowledge field under consideration
1	Below average	Below average performance across all the criteria, as determined by panel and relative to knowledge field
0	Poor	There are major shortcomings or flaws within and across the stated criteria, with particular emphasis on the scientific/scholarly merit

Context:

Proposal grading is done with sensitivity to the context within which each application is submitted. The score of each criterion for each application will be contextualised to accommodate variability in such things as knowledge fields, institutional capacity, etc. Should a criterion not be applicable to a specific application (e.g. plans for digital data storage; collaborations; etc.), the weighting of that specific criteria will be made to equal zero, and the overall score normalised.

ANNEXURE 3: Preset Equity Scores

Equity Status	Pre-set Equity Score
Disabled	4
Black female; Black male, young ²	4
Black male, not young	3
White female, young	3
White female, not young	2
White male, young	2
White male, not young	1

It should be noted that non-South African citizens will be scored as White females or males, as appropriate.

² “Young” refers to 5 years post-PhD as per the applicant’s CV. Applicants will be classified as “young” if their graduation date is less than 5 years prior to the date of assessment.